

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/624,076	07/24/2000	Robert Scott Nieboer	2907-102P 5065	
7590 10/29/2004		EXAMINER		
Anthony Laws Birch			PATEL, JAGDISH	
6915 Barrett Lane Bethesda, MD 20814			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3624	
		DATE MAILED: 10/29/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
<b></b>	09/624,076	NIEBOER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JAGDISH PATEL	3624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Au	ugust 2004.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
2) Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-940)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3624

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to amendment filed 5/12/04 and subsequent to the request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 filed 8/13/04.

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/12/04 has been entered.

### Response to Amendment

3. Claim 1 has been amended.

### Response to Arguments

4. Claim 1 and dependent claims therefrom were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 (first paragraph) as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The examiner maintains this rejection with the following detailed explanation supported by MPEP quidelines. Note that the claim(s) are not rejected under

Art Unit: 3624

the enablement requirement, which is separate and distinct from the written description requirement.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The applicant is required to show that the applicant had in his or her possession, as of the filing date of the application, the specific subject matter claimed by the applicant using such descriptive means as words, structures, figures, diagrams and formulas that set forth the claimed invention. Lockwood v. American Airlines, Inc., 107 F.3d 1565, 41 USPQ2d 1961 (Fed. Cir. 1997).

In the instant case, claim 1 requires that the process of "completing sales in the primary auction based on sales results in the secondary auction" be carried out using a computer. The specification fails describe that the claimed process step is carried out with a computer consistent with the written description requirement as set forth above. The specification is

Art Unit: 3624

silent about any form of technological implement to carry out the claimed invention. In particular, the specification as originally filed fails to show any descriptive means such as those enumerated above in support of the claimed invention as being implemented on a computer and thus fails to meet the written description requirement under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

For further details on the written description requirement please refer to MPEP sections 2163 I.

6. Dependent claims 2-24 are also rejected for the reasons applied to claim 1.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAGDISH PATEL whose telephone number is (703)308-7837. The examiner can normally be reached on 800AM-600PM M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (703)308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

Art Unit: 3624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jagdish N. Patel

(Primary Examiner, AU 3624)

10/28/04